PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 27.01.2003 27.01.2004 PCT/CH2004/000044 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC F02B77/13, B60R13/08 **Applicant** RIETER TECHNOLOGIES AG This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. Ⅰ Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. **Authorized Officer**

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Nobre, S

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4635



10/541824

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. JG20 Rec'd PCT/PTOC I/QH300H/X00514

_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion	
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	lai	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With re	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. type of material:		
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material:		
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing:		e of filing/furnishing:	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3	h C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.	
4. Additional comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

4,6

Claims No:

1-3,5,7-11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No:

Claims

1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

PCT/CH04/00044

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 4 966 799 A

D2: JP 55 104 528 A

D3: WO 97/48 576 A

D4: US 5 567922 A

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 (cf. col.2, line 37 to col. 5, line 15, figs. 1 and 3) discloses:

An engine compartment partitioning layer (31, 32, 33, 34, 35) wherein said partitioning layer (31, 32, 33, 34, 35) partitions the engine compartment and forms at least one acoustically effective cavity within the closed engine compartment.

Moreover, the subject-matter of claim 1 is also disclosed in documents D2 and D3.

Dependent claims 2 to 11 do not contain any features which meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step, see documents **D1**, **D2**, **D3**, **D4** and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

The term "slightly" used in claim 5 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear (Article 6 PCT).

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents **D1**, **D2** or **D3** is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.